

Introduction

The Cataloguing Section did deliver an annual report covering 2015 in the old form which is published on the website. http://www.ifla.org/files/assets/cataloguing/reports/annual 2015.pdf

The Cataloguing Section listed four objectives in the Action Plan for 2015-16:

- 1. The first objective of 2015-2016 is to establish a common understanding on the IFLA UBC standards. What type of standard they are, how they interrelate, and/or are dependent on each other.
- 2. The second objective is to work towards consolidation and alignment of existing IFLA standards.
- 3. The third objective is to manage and update existing IFLA standards to promote their use.
- 4. The fourth objective is to continue to strengthen the cooperation with other IFLA UBC Sections, among them the Bibliography Section and the Classification and indexing Section (now renamed the Subject Analysis and Access section).

Report on progress and activities

Objectives Identified in the Unit's Action Plan	Project or activity Identified in the Unit's Action Plan	Progress Please give brief details, including status (not started, in progress, near completion, completed)	Risks Please identify any problems or concerns (e.g. missed deadlines, lack of resource) and how you would propose to address them
Establish a common understanding on the IFLA UBC standards.	Form a work group (WG) on IFLA UBC standards interrelation. This will contribute to the Key initiative by 1) providing the CATS SC with more info to help its decisions on standards strategy, and 2) informing other stakeholders, such as IFLA Committee on Standards and IFLA HQ, on the UBC standards produced by IFLA.	A charge for the working group has been written, and members appointed.	
2. Work towards consolidation and alignment of existing IFLA standards	(Continuing work) a) Finish the consolidation of the FRBR Family, perform worldwide review and evaluate the result.	a) The draft for a consolidated FRBR-model has been on worldwide review. On top of getting FRBR-LRM ready the editorial group also has done a tremendous effort in handling the result of the worldwide review. Comments, suggestions and corrections have been drawn from all responses and discussed and dealt with during face to face-meetings (three days). The result is a new version of the text. Some questions about the procedure for finalizing and publishing the standard is to be sorted out together with the Standard Committee	

3. Manage and update existing IFLA standards (FRBRoo, PRESSoo,	c) Continuing alignment of ISBD with FRBR, UNIMARC and RDA (non-IFLA standard but important international de facto standard) Continue ongoing work with FRBRoo, PRESSoo, Names of Persons and	c) Ongoing. The chair of the ISBD RG participated in the RSC Meeting in Edinburg, November 2015 A RG on PRESSoo has been established.	something finished as we are always in process with revising standards and they interrelate. We had the discussion when we started the revision on ICP – should we update to a current stage knowing that when FRBR-LRM is published we will have to update again or live with a non-updated version? Back then we decided to update as the development of standards is an ongoing process. Will need to be discussed in the Committee on Standards.
	b) Publish an updated version of International Cataloguing Principles.	A new name: IFLA-LRM (Library Reference Model) was decided for the consolidated FRBR model. b) The ICP text has been submitted to the Committee on Standards. The Committee on Standards asked other sections within IFLA for internal review and the group to comment on that.	in process with revising standards and they interrelate. We had the discussion when we started the

Names of persons, Anonymous Classics)	Anonymous Classics	Names of Persons has been published on our website and is updated as an ongoing process. After careful investigations it has been clear, that it doesn't make sense to try to establish a Latin-American Anonymous Classic.
4. Manage and update existing IFLA standards (ISBD).	Update the ISBD, based on the FRBR-LRM and the decisions on the ISBD strategy (when the SC has reached a decision on this).	There have been discussions in the SC about the strategy, but so far without reaching any conclusion.
5. Strengthen the cooperation with other IFLA UBC Sections, among them the Bibliography Section and the Classification and indexing Section.	a) Continue the experiment with joint metadata newsletters. b) See to that the officers and newsletter editors of relevant sections are informed on ongoing work of the different sections, e.g. by starting a mail list. c) Work for an informal UBC meet-up at IFLA 2016.	Done. No mailing list have been started, but we keep in contact.

Results

Please provide further information about the activities that were completed during the year. Ensure that details or a full report can be found online, the main outcomes have been shared with a wider audience and the impact of the work can be demonstrated.

Completed project or activity Please list those projects/activities identified as completed in the table above	Output Please state what the output of the project/activity was (e.g. Report, Standard, Workshop etc) and provide a URL to it	Communications Please state how the output and outcomes of the project/activity have been communicated to the Unit, IFLA members and the wider profession	Impact of the completed project or activity Through the Measures of Success identified in your Action Plan, describe what difference the project or activity has made to the Unit, IFLA members, the wider profession or society at large
1.Draft of FRBR-LRM (now IFLA-LRM)	Worldwide review 2016: http://www.ifla.org/node/10280	Through mailing lists within and outside of IFLA (CATSMAIL, IFLA-L, RDA-L etc.)	
2. Draft of ICP	Worldwide review 2015: http://www.ifla.org/node/9542	Through mailing lists within and outside of IFLA	
3. Joint Newsletter IFLA Metadata Newsletter	http://www.ifla.org/cataloguing/newsletter	Through mailing lists within and outside of IFLA	

Standing Committee membership

Please provide further information about members of the Standing Committee and their contribution to the work of the Professional Unit.

Standing Committee members' names	Role on the Standing Committee (if any) and contribution made to the work of the Professional Unit over the year	Comments on position For example, resigned, co-opted to fill a casual vacancy, etc.
	For example, Chair, Information Coordinator, Project Leader	
1. Nesrine Abdelmeguid Mohamed Abdelmegu		
2. María Violeta Bertolini	Member: ISBD RG, FRBR RG. Working groups: Names of Persons. Anonymous Classics	
3. Vincent Boulet	Member: ISBD RG. Working group: GARR	
4. Barbora Drobiková	Member: FRBR RG. Working group: GARR	
5. Gordon Dunsire	Member: ISBD RG, FRBR RG	
6.Henriette Fog	Working group: GARR	
7. Agnese Galeffi	Information Coordinator Chair: ICP review. Member: FRBR RG	
8. Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi	Chair: ISBD RG. Member: FRBR RG	
9. Tuula Haapamäki		
10. Hanne Hørl Hansen	Secretary	
11. Unni Knutsen	Newsletter editor	
12. Patrick Le Boeuf	Member: FRBR RG and FRBR Consolidation Editorial Group	
13. Milena Milanova	Working group: Names of Persons	
14. Susan R. Morris	Member: ISBD RG	
15. Alejandra Muñoz Gómez		
16. Clément Oury	Member: ISBD RG	
17. Ricardo Santos	Member: ISBD RG. Working groups: Names of Persons. Anonymous Classics. Genre/Form Working Group	

18. Miriam Säfström	Chair, member of Committee on Standards
Add as required	

Names of any other reporting persons	Role For example, Corresponding Members	Comments on position For example, resigned, co-opted to fill a casual vacancy, etc.
1Victoria Barsukova	Corresponding Member	
2Renate Behrens	Corresponding Member	
3. Anisatul-Wahidah Binti Abdul Wahid	Corresponding Member	
4. Hester Marais	Corresponding Member	
5. Yoko Shibata	Corresponding Member	
6. Jay Weiz	Liaison to ALA Committee on Cataloguing	
Add as required		

Professional Unit meetings or conference calls

Please show how the Standing Committee has conducted its business over the year.

Date When the meeting was held	Location or type of meeting Physical or virtual meeting (telephone, skype etc)	Main outcomes Briefly summarise the main outcomes of the meeting and how these were communicated to the membership of the Professional Unit
1.Midterm Meeting April 2016	BNF, Paris (some members connected via Skype)	Normally our SC Meetings consist of many reports. This time we had the opportunity to "take a trip around the world" and have our SC members talking about main issues in their

country/region in order to find out whether the standards we maintain fulfil the needs in our community. One of the results was a process starting on revising Guidelines for Authority Records and References (GARR) as the world are moving towards focus on entities.

2. Separate business meetings of the Review and

2. Separate business meetings of the Review and Working groups, as reported on their respective web pages.

Please return this form to the Division Chair and Professional Support Officer (<u>joanne.yeomans@ifla.org</u>) no later than 30 October 2016.

Please also make a summary of this form available to the IFLA Members registered with the Professional Unit, removing any sensitive information such as risks, individuals' contributions, etc.

(Officers can obtain a list of their IFLA Members from membershp@ifla.org).

When making the Annual Report public, please remove any information you think should not be shared. A web page templete has been shared with Information Coordinators which you might use for this purpose.