



Guidelines for a Parliamentary Research Service

Sonia L'Heureux
Parliamentary Librarian
Library of Parliament of Canada



LIBRARY of PARLIAMENT
BIBLIOTHÈQUE du PARLEMENT

August 2014





Why is the Section pursuing this project?

- Evolution in support requested from parliamentary administrations
 - Information is easier to access
 - Parliamentarians want concise analysis of what the information means
 - Politically, legislatively, economically, locally, etc.
 - Within the parliamentary context
- Keen interest in knowing how to develop a Parliamentary Research Service
 - Section pre-conferences
 - Parliamentary delegation visits to established parliamentary research services
 - Requests/projects within major parliamentary development programs (e.g., IPU, APF, World Bank Institute, etc.)
- Standing Committee agreed to share its expertise in this domain



What will be the end product?

- Publication
 - About 40 pages
 - Checklist of key guidelines
- Not a “recipe”
 - Highlight key considerations to take into account
 - Must be adapted to each parliament’s culture, traditions, history
- Informed by experience of managers of parliamentary research services
 - Small, medium and large parliamentary research services
 - Different parliamentary traditions
- Not about reference work provided by libraries



Who is on the Working Group?

- Adolfo Furtado – Brazil & ENPLAC
- Lillian Gassie – United States
- Dianne Heriot – Australia
- Junko Hirose – Japan
- Sonia L'Heureux – Canada (Chair)
- John Bagonza Mugabi – Uganda
- Alfonso Perez – Chile
- Andy Richardson – IPU
- John Pullinger / Bryn Morgan – United Kingdom
- Siiri Sillajoe – Estonia & ECPRD
- Jarle Skjorestad – Norway
- Raissa Teodori – Italy & IFLA Section Chair



Why a Parliamentary Research Service?

- Parliamentarians are not experts in all topics – they want to:
 - Access a safe place to ask basic and complex questions
 - Know who are the stakeholders and what are they saying
 - Talk to an “expert” who can analyze all the angles of public policy issues
 - Obtain a second opinion/assessment on the information provided by the Executive/Government
- Customized analysis
 - Devoid of lobbying
 - Considering parliamentary context



Considerations

- Enabling Authorities and Governance
- Values and Operating Principles
- Range of Potential Services
- Quality Control
- Resources Required
- Useful Partnerships
- Reporting to Parliament
- Key Lessons





Considerations – Enabling Authorities and Governance

- Multi-party consensus
 - Role of parliament is to hold government to account
 - Need for analytical capacity available to all parliamentarians
 - Parliamentary research service must be independent of the Executive branch of government
- Enabling authorities – approaches include:
 - Legislated mandate
 - Motions from multi-party entities – e.g., from the Chamber/Assembly or a committee/commission
- Governance model
 - Integrated with the parliamentary library
 - Separate entity for each chamber
 - Integrated with procedural services
 - Single research service supporting both chambers in a bicameral parliament



Considerations – Values and Operating Principles

- Values
 - Impartiality
 - Professionalism and respect
 - Confidentiality of interactions
 - Use of reputable information
 - Respect of copyright and licensing rights
- Scope of services available
 - On-demand vs. proactive research products
 - Who can access the services
 - What is excluded
- Service standards
 - Confidentiality provisions
 - Deadlines
 - Formats of products
 - Language requirements

Explore the concept
of a *Service Charter*



Considerations – Range of Potential Services

- Customized short written analysis
- In-person oral briefings
- Fact sheets – e.g., introduction to issues using facts
- Summaries/concise analyses of proposed legislation (available in time for debate)
- Legislative drafting
- Background studies and expert opinions
- Information packages for specific parliamentary meetings (e.g., parliamentary committees and associations, meetings of international organizations)
- Geospatial Information System (GIS) products, statistical profiles and mapping services
- Training and seminars, learning materials (e.g., toolkits)

Service offering
will vary based on
resources available



Considerations – Quality Control

- Review product quality before submitting to clients
 - Identify who is responsible
 - Use checklist
 - Staff training of good practices
- Failures in meeting expectations will occur – be ready
 - To defend your analysis
 - To adjust if corrections are warranted

Small percentage of failures can generate crises of confidence



Considerations – Resources Required

- HR
 - Initially – lawyers and economists
 - Over time
 - Experts in international affairs, social science, political science, resources management, public administration, engineering, environmental studies, etc.
 - Balance ratio of generalists vs. specialists
- Access to a collection
 - Highly specialized industry or academic material
 - Historical data with long-term cycles
 - Non-expert materials
 - Ongoing need for librarians to:
 - Be aware of research needs
 - Inform researchers of new material available
 - Informal networks to access information
- Electronic client requests and records management



Considerations – Useful Partnerships

- Parliamentary Libraries
- Agents of parliament and special agencies – while respecting mandates and confidentiality provisions
 - Auditor general
 - Parliamentary budget officer
 - Information commissioner
 - Statistical agency
- Other research services
 - Within the parliamentary environment
 - In academia and civil society
- Corporate support
 - Information technology
 - Editing and graphic design
 - Communications experts



Considerations – Reporting to Parliament

- Annual report
- Presentations to parliamentary committees
- Informal meetings with leaders of parliamentary caucuses
- Report on feedback received



Considerations – Key Lessons

- Human interaction is essential
- Establish contact very soon after a request is submitted
- Balance researchers' proximity to parliamentarians vs. research tools
- Materials must be focused on facts, properly sourced and void of political advice
- Employee skills must be kept up to date
- Important to act on feedback ... and be seen to do so
- All staff must be on the same page



Key Milestones

August 2014 – Draft reviewed by Working Group

December 2014 – Final edited draft

August 2015 – Launch at IFLA meeting in South Africa

Languages

- Original draft in English
- Canada committed to translate into French
- Volunteers for other languages are welcomed



Additional Information

IFLA

Library and Research Services for Parliaments Section

<http://www.ifla.org/services-for-parliaments>

Sonia L'Heureux

Parliamentary Librarian

Library of Parliament of Canada



LIBRARY of PARLIAMENT

BIBLIOTHÈQUE du PARLEMENT

