

**IFLA SET PRESENTS**



**IFLA Section  
Education and Training**

# Putting Emerging LIS Competencies into Education and Practice: Challenges and Opportunities

## **Day 1**

**Brought to you by**

**Education and Training Section**

**Thursday, 19 November 2020**

**15:00-16:30 CEST\***

**\*Central European Standard Time**





From checklists to  
critical thinking:  
Updating information  
analysis in the age of  
fake news



Julie Biando Edwards  
Niche Academy, United States

# Outline

1. A story
  2. What is lateral reading?
  3. Reaching LIS students
  4. Reaching librarians
  5. Reaching patrons
-

Once upon a time in 2016...

# What is lateral reading?

Using the web, as a web, to get information about unfamiliar sites, photos, videos, etc.

Lateral reading involved quickly leaving the original source to find out about it using *other sources*.

# Key knowledge and skills

- **Take bearings:** leave an unfamiliar page right away to get a sense of how it fits in with the larger information ecosystem.
- **Develop a list of reputable sources,** including what others say about them.
- **Practice click restraint.** They don't get caught up in what they find interesting, but they focus on the task at hand - evaluating the reliability of a site.
- **Don't take things at face value** - including references, domain names, or About Us pages.

# Key questions

1. Who is behind the information I am looking at?
2. Where is the evidence that supports the claims made here?
3. What do other sources say?

# Mistakes historians & students made

- Trusting a .org
- Being impressed with abstracts, data presentation, and lists of references (without verifying anything).
- Being impressed by official looking logos and good design.
- Deep reading an unfamiliar webpage.
- Accepting the “About Us” page at face value.
- Clicking on links that caught their interest, rather than link that could tell them something about the site.

# Reaching LIS students

“Students Learned What We Taught Them.”

- Require *basic* information literacy courses.
- Teach professional IL standards, pedagogy, and instruction *separately*.

# Reaching librarians

- Invest in lateral reading, algorithmic, and mis- and dis-information reading, education, and training.
- Use conference and professional development opportunities to up-skill librarians.
- Create workplace practices that recognize and treat librarians as information professionals.

# Reaching patrons

“The idea that students will become effective web searchers after a one-off presentation is wishful thinking.”

- In academic libraries, move away from one-shot sessions and revamp information analysis tools.
- In public libraries, create meaningful classes and programming to develop civic and digital literacy.
- Beyond public libraries, utilize librarians' skills in businesses, non-profits, and organizations.

# Sources and resources

Baer, A., & Kipnis, D. G. (2020). SIFTing and Four-Moving Online: Opportunities and Challenges with Teaching Lateral Reading through an Online Module.

Baer, A., & Kipnis, D. G. [Tutorial: Evaluating Online Sources through Lateral Reading](#). Rowan University.

Breakstone, J., McGrew, S., Smith, M., Ortega, T., & Wineburg, S. (2018). Why we need a new approach to teaching digital literacy. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 99(6), 27-32.

Caulfield, M. (2017). [Web literacy for student fact-checkers](#).

Head, A. J., Fister, B., & MacMillan, M. (2020). Information Literacy in the Age of Algorithms: Student Experiences with News and Information, and the Need for Change. *Project Information Literacy*.

McGrew, S. (2020). Learning to evaluate: An intervention in civic online reasoning. *Computers & Education*, 145, 103711.

McGrew, S., Smith, M., Breakstone, J., Ortega, T., & Wineburg, S. (2019). Improving university students' web savvy: An intervention study. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89(3), 485-500.

# Sources and resources

McGrew, S., Breakstone, J., Ortega, T., Smith, M., & Wineburg, S. (2018). Can students evaluate online sources? Learning from assessments of civic online reasoning. *Theory & Research in Social Education*, 46(2), 165-193.

McGrew, S., Ortega, T., Breakstone, J., & Wineburg, S. (2017). [The Challenge That's Bigger than Fake News: Civic Reasoning in a Social Media Environment](#). *American educator*, 41(3), 4.

Stanford History Education Group (2016) [“Evaluating Information: The Cornerstone of Civic Online Reasoning”](#)

Stanford Center for [Civil Online Reasoning](#).

Wineburg, S., Breakstone, J., Ziv, N., Smith, M. (October 21, 2020). [Educating for misunderstanding: How approaches to teaching digital literacy make students susceptible to scammers, rogues, bad actors, and hate mongers](#). Stanford History Education Group. ,

Wineburg, S., & McGrew, S. (2019). Lateral Reading and the Nature of Expertise: Reading Less and Learning More When Evaluating Digital Information. *Teachers College Record*, 121(11), n11.

Wineburg, S. (2018). *Why learn history (When it's already on your phone)*. University of Chicago Press.

# Thank You

[julie@nicheacademy.com](mailto:julie@nicheacademy.com)

Questions? Discussion?

---

# thank you

**Check out our other IFLA events at  
[www.ifla.org/events/all](http://www.ifla.org/events/all)**

**Visit our Unit's webpage to find out  
more about our work at  
<https://www.ifla.org/set>**

